

United States Patent and Trademark Office

APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	FRIGHT NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/771.9%	02:04:2004	Patrice Bujard	EL/2-22117/A/DIV 2	3576	
324 7590 0614/2004			EXAMINER		
CIBA SPECIALTY CHEMICALS CORPORATION			GREEN, ANTHONY J		
PATENT DEP	ARTMENT				
540 WHITE PI	AINS RD		ARTUNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P O BOX 2005			1755		
TARRYTOWN	NY 10591-9005				

DATE MAILED 05/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-
	10/771,996	BUJARD ET AL	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Anthony J. Green	1755	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MALINIC DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT Execution than an experience of the state of the st	ION. FR 1.135(a). In no event, however, may a look, and the second of the second of the second of the paried will apply and will apply and the second of th	raply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED CS U.S.C. 6 130.	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠	This action is non-final.		
 Since this application is in condition for a 	llowance except for formal mat	ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice un	nder Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.E). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 11-18 is/are pending in the appl	ication.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi	thdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) ☐ Claim(s) 11-18 is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction :	and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. 6 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

2. Certifled copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/686,642.

3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

Office Action Summary

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

ΛI	tec	80	10	m	
	52				

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(sVMail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTC-152)

6) Cher:

Paper No(sVMail Date 3/17/04

Application/Control Number: 10/771,996
Art Unit: 1755

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

 The preliminary amendment filed 02/04/2004 has been entered and accordingly claims 11-18 are currently pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
 - (b) the invention was palented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United
- Claims 11-14, 16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ito et al. (US Patent No. 5,464,566 and 5,520,566).

The references teach in the abstract and the claims, a coating solution composition for forming a glass gel finfilm containing at least one material selected from the group consisting of a metal alkoxide, and polycondensate of the metal alkoxide, a coloring material, an alcohol solvent and a dispersant. A glass gel thin film is obtained by sintering this composition. Column 11, lines 25+ of 5,464,566 and column 11, lines 1+ of 5,520,855 lists the types of organic pigments and lake powders which are utilized as the coloring material. Column 13, lines 10+ of 5,464,566 and column 12, lines 46+ of 5,520,855 leach the types of substrates the composition is used to coat which indudes a color glass gel filter for use on a display device such as a picture tube, a liquid crystal display, a plasma display te and that the thickness of the

Application/Control Number: 10/771,996
Art Unit: 1755

film ranges from 0.1 microns to 3 microns. Column 6, line 33+ of 5,464,566 and column 6, line 26+ of 5,520,855 teaches that a perfectly or almost perfectly vitrified glass gel film is produced which surrounds the coloring material with the structure.

The instant claims are met by the references as the references appear to teach compositions that encompass that which are instantly claimed. The thickness of the film is the same as instantly claimed and the references teach the use of pigments that encompass those which are instantly claimed. Accordingly the burden of proof is on the applicant to show that the instant claims are not met by the references.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at an such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be regative by the manner in which the invention was made.

 Claims 15 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ito et al. (US Patent No. 5,464,566 and 5,520,566).

The instant claims are rendered obvious by the references. While the references do not recite that the composition is used to coat glass bottles, it does teach that it is used to coat various glass surfaces and accordingly in the absence of evidence to the contrary one would have found it obvious to use the coating composition to coat any type of glass material without producing unexpected results. This is believed to be Art Unit: 1755

within the level of routine experimentation and optimization within the art. Accordingly the instant claims are obvious over the references.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony J.

Green whose telephone number is 571-272-1987. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the oxaminer by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark L. Bell can be reached on 571-272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9309. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Reviews!

(PARR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PARR or Public PARR. Status information about the PARR system, see http://doi.org/10.1001/status/10.1001

Primary Examine Art Unit 1755

May 28, 2004